For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	CHEVRON U.S.A. INC., No. C 05-03276 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. NOTICE RE ORAL ARGUMENT
13	SSD & ASSOCIATES,
14	Defendant.
15	/
16	For today's closing argument, counsel may wish to address:
17	1) Does the trial record prove up Chevron's claim that SSD defrauded the state by
18	underpaying sales taxes, or rather does the record show that the state was correctly paid and that
19	Chevron was overpaid (due to the inclusion of sales taxes in the EPOS reports)?
20	2) Is <i>Reyes</i> still fully good law? Is the mixed-motive analysis of <i>Reyes</i> still good?
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Datadi Santambar 11 2006
24	Dated: September 11, 2006 WILLIAM ALSUP
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
27	
20	